

Detection and Recovery of Weak Signals

by

May Tan Lim

A Masteral Thesis Submitted to the
National Institute of Physics, College of Science
University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City

As Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of
Master of Science in Physics

March 2000

This is to certify that this master's thesis, entitled "DETECTION AND RECOVERY OF WEAK SIGNALS" and submitted by May Tan Lim to fulfill part of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Physics was successfully defended and approved on March 22, 2000.

Caesal A. Saloma, Ph.D.
Thesis Adviser
Professor of Physics
National Institute of Physics
CS, U.P. Diliman, Quezon City

Ronald S. Banzon, Ph.D.
Thesis Reader
Assistant Professor of Physics
National Institute of Physics
CS, U.P. Diliman, Quezon City

The National Institute of Physics endorses the acceptance of this master's thesis as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Physics.

Caesar A. Saloma, Ph.D.
Officer-In-Charge
National Institute of Physics
CS, U.P. Diliman, Quezon City

This master's thesis is hereby officially accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements of Master of Science in Physics.

Danilo M. Yanga, Ph.A.
Dean, College of Science
U.P. Diliman, Quezon City/

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS

College of Science University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City

ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE MASTER'S EXAMINATION

of

MAY T. LIM

in defense of her Master's Thesis

DETECTION AND RECOVERY OF WEAK SIGNALS

for the degree of M.S. Physics

1:00 P.M., WEDNESDAY , 22 MARCH 2000 PH ROOM 3213

THESIS ADVISER

CAESAR A. SALOMA, Ph.D.

Professor of Physics National Institute of Physics C.S., U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

THESIS EXAMINER

ARNEL A. SALVADOR, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Physics National Institute of Physics C.S., U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

ENDORSED BY:

CAESAR A. SALOMA, Ph.D.

Officer-In-Charge National Institute of Physics C.S., U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

THESIS READER

RONALD S. BANZON, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor of Physics National Institute of Physics C.S., U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

THESIS EXAMINER

JOSE A. MAGPANTAY, Ph.D.

Professor of Physics National Institute of Physics C.S., U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

AUTHORIZED BY:

DANILO M. YANGA, Ph.D

Dean

College of Science

U.P., Diliman, Quezon City

Acknowledgment

This thesis is partially supported by the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Development, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City under Grant No. 09903-NSET.

May T. Lin

Ang aking taos-pusong pasasalamat ...

Abstract

An efficient noise dithering procedure is demonstrated for measuring weak doublet spectra with a Fourier transform interferometer where the weak interferograms are sampled by a 1-bit analog-to-digital converter. In the absence of noise, no information is obtained regarding the doublet spectrum because the modulation (AC) term s(x) of the interferogram is undetectable which happens when |s(x)| is less than the instrumental detection limit B for all path difference x values. Extensive numerical experiments are carried out to test the recovery of the following interferogram which represents the doublet spectrum: $s(x) = (s_o/2) \exp(-\pi^2 x^2/\beta) [\cos(2\pi f_1 x) + \cos(2\pi f_2 x)]$, for different s_o , linewidth factor β and s_o and s_o and s_o are also obtained for the power spectra.

Rapid and accurate recovery of the lost high-frequency components in the undersampled representation of a bandlimited signal s(x) is demonstrated using the simplex projection method (SPM). The spectral extrapolation technique is effective if: 1) Fourier spectrum S(f) of s(x) contains features that are exhibited regularly within the signal bandwidth, and 2) Fourier transform $\{S(m)\}\$ of the undersampled representation of s(x) contains sufficient information about the said regularities. The SPM is utilized to determine the various features contained in $\{S(m)\}$ and to establish their possible pattern of appearance. The performance of the recovery procedure is tested as a function of the sampling rate. Two test signals with distinctly different Fourier spectrum profiles are considered: 1) Interferogram of a spectral doublet, and 2) Four-point object. In both cases, the bandwidth of s(x) is known a priori and used to determine the number of unknowns to be solved. For an undersampled interferogram that contains only 54% of the energy of the spectral doublet, 42 unknown components have been calculated to decrease the normalized mean-square error of the interpolated signal by 75% relative to the undersampled data. The extrapolation technique is shown to be robust to the presence of additive noise in S(f). The SPM is also demonstrated on the Raman spectrum of CCl₄.

Contents

1	Introduction			1
	1.1	Noise-	enhanced measurement of weak signals	1
	1.2	Spectr	al extrapolation	2
2	$\mathbf{Th}\epsilon$	eoretical Foundation		
	2.1	Noise-	aided weak signal detection	5
		· 2.1.1	Sinusoid-crossing sampling and multithreshold sampling	5
		2.1.2	Stochastic resonance and noise dithering	7
		2.1.3	Signal recovery technique	10
	2.2	Spectr	al extrapolation	11
		2.2.1	Convolution and low-pass filtering of signals	11
		2.2.2	Simplex projection method	12
3	Nur	nerical	Simulations and Experiments	19
	3.1	Noise-	aided detection of doublet spectra	19
	3.2	Spectr	al extrapolation using the simplex projection method	25

4	Sun	nmary	and Conclusion	46
	3.3	Ramai	n spectrum enhancement	40
		3.2.4	Discussion	37
		3.2.3	Robustness against analog-to-digital conversion	34
		3.2.2	Spectral extrapolation of a four-point object	29
		3.2.1	Spectral extrapolation of an interfeogram	25

List of Figures

2.1	Correspondence of D_m^+/D with 682 different $s(m)$ values $(D=1000)$.	9
2.2	Schematic of alternative dithering procedure for recovering the power spectrum of the weak signal	10
2.3	Procedure for determining the unknown $S(n)$ value from $\{S(m)\}$ using the simplex projection method	17
3.1	Recovered power spectrum $\{ S(k) \}$ of the doublet interferogram	21
3.2	Linfoot's criteria for $\{ S_a(f) \}$ where $s_o = 0.96B$: a) F vs σ for different L values, and b) F , Q and C as a function of L . Parameter values: $D = 100$, $\sigma = B = 0.016$, $T = 1$, $2M = 128$, and $N = 256$	22
3.3	Performance of recovery procedure: a) Error ϵ_p vs D , b) Signal-to-noise ratio R_p vs D and c) R_p vs s_o . Notations are solid circles: $q=1$ ($L=0$), circles: $q=2$ ($L=0$), cross-hairs: $q=1$ ($L=0.4$), squares: $q=2$ ($L=0.4$). Other parameter values: $D=100$, $\sigma=B=0.016$, $T=1, 2M=128$, and $N=256$	24
3.4	Doublet interferogram. Discrete spectrum $\{S(n)\}$ of $s(x)$ where $T=1$ and $W_s=944=2N$. Spectrum $S(f)$ has peaks at $n=\pm 400$ and ± 440 .	26
3.5	Doublet Interferogram. Percentage energy content of $\{S(m)\}$ as function of M , where number of unknown components is $2(472 - M)$. The undersampled spectrum $\{S(m)\}$ that is obtained at $W_d = 770$, contains	97
	only 1% of the energy of $\{S(n)\}$	27

3.6	Doublet interferogram. Comparison between the 40 predicted $S(M + n)$ and the correct $S(f)$ values ($\epsilon = 3$, $\tau = 1$, and $\alpha = 5$). The undersampled spectrum $\{S(m)\}$ obtained with $W_d = 860 = 2M < W_s = 944$, does not contain the spectral peak at $n = \pm 440$. Our method has succeeded in recovering the correct peak $S(n)$ value at $n = 440$.	28
3.7	Doublet interferogram: a) Error $E(472-M)$ plot for various ϵ values $(\tau=1, \alpha=5)$, and b) $E(472-M)$ plot for various τ values $(\epsilon=3, \alpha=5)$. The $\epsilon=0$ and $\tau=0$ lines respectively, represent the NMSE of the undersampled signal $\{s(p)\}$. The number of unknowns to be solved explicitly is $(472-M)$	30
3.8	Four-point object. Power content of the spectrum	31
3.9	Four-point object. Plots of the 15 predicted $S(n)$ values against their corresponding theoretical $S(f)$ values for \mathbf{R}_1^2 , and \mathbf{R}_1^3	32
3.10	Four-point object: a) Error $E(512-M)$ plot for various ϵ values ($\tau=1$), and b) $E(472-M)$ for various τ values ($\epsilon=3$)	33
3.11	Four-point object: a) 512 - $M = 28$, and b) 512 - $M = 330$. Representation $\{s(q)\}$ is sampled at the rate of $W_d = 1024$, $\{s(p)\}$ is obtained with $W_d = 2M < 1024$, and the interpolated representation $\{s_e(q)\}$ = $\mathbf{F}^{-1}[\{S_e(n)\}]$. Note that: $\{s(p)\} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}[\{S(m)\}]$, where $\{S(m)\}$ is zero-padded to contain 1024 data points	35
3.12	Doublet interferogram. Error E vs $(472 - M)$ for different q values where $\tau = 1$, and $\epsilon = 3$. The NMSE plot along $q = U$ represents the error in the undersampled signal $\{s(m)\}$	36
3.13	Doublet Interferogram. Error plots $E(472 - M)$ for $\{s(p)\}$ (circle) and $\{s_e(q)\}$ (solid circle) as a function of the number of unknowns $(472 - M)$. Also shown is the energy content (square) of $\{S(m)\}$ as a	
	function of $(472 - M)$	38

3.14	Four-point object. Error plots $E(512 - M)$ for $\{s(p)\}$ (circle) and $\{s_e(q)\}$ (solid circle) as a function of the number of unknowns (512 – M). Also shown is the energy content (square) of $\{S(m)\}$ as a function of $(512 - M)$	39
3.15	Raman spectra of CCl_4 as a function of various slit width settings. Note the trade-off between R and resolution	4:
3.16	Raman spectra. Linfoot's figures of merit as a function of slit width w for the normalized deconvolved signal $\{s_d(p)\}$. Data using $w=75~\mu\mathrm{m}$ is taken as $\{s(q)\}$	4:
3.17	Comparison of Raman spectra of $\mathrm{CCl_4}$ for $w=150~\mu\mathrm{m}$, 101 - $M=11$. $\{s(p)\}$ is the original Raman spectrum, $\{s_e(q)\}$ is the (Fourier) spectral-extrapolated Raman spectrum, $\{s_d(p)\}$ is the deconvolved Raman spectrum, and $\{s(q)\}$ is the spectrum obtained at $w=75~\mu\mathrm{m}$. All intensity values are normalized	44
3.18	Raman spectra. Linfoot's figures of merit as a function of number of unknowns 101 - M for $w=150~\mu\mathrm{m}$, $\epsilon=3$ and $\tau=1,\ldots,\ldots$	4

n varren te