LOCATION IRRI

CALL # SB208.1988.T5 B28. AUTHOR Bautista, Ernesto D.

TITLE Rural labor market adjustment to differential technical

change.

IMPRINT Diliman, Quezon City, 1988.

DESCRIPT 204 leaves : ill.; 28 cm.

NOTE Thesis (Ph. D.) -- University of the Philippines, 1988.

NOTE Bibliography: p.192-204.

KEYWORDS (NAL) Rice; Labor; Rural areas; Labor market;

Technological change; Migrant workers;

Philippines.

RURAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT TO DIFFERENTIAL TECHNICAL CHANGE

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

BY

ERNESTO D. BAUTISTA

Diliman, Quezon City

October, 1988

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	<u>.</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
LIST OF TABLES	i
LIST OF FIGURES	xi:
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Statement of the Problem 1.2 Objectives of the Study 1.3 Organization of the Study	13
II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
2.1 Competitive Model of Interregional Labor Market Adjustment Process	15
2.1.1 Model with Equal Factor Endowments and Immobile Capital	16
2.1.2 Model with Differential Factor Endowment and Capital Mobility	19
2.2 Model of a Regional Labor Market	22
2.2.1 Demand for Labor	23
Labor Demand By Farm Households	23
Regional Demand for Labor	27

	2.2.2 Regional Flow of Labor Supply	30
	Determinants of Labor Force Participation	32
	Determinants of Migration Flows	37
	Determinants of Unemployment	44
	2.3 Summary Statement of the Model	45
III.	EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK	
	3.1 Micro Level Analysis	
	3.1.1 Model Specification and Estimation	48
	Empirical Models of Farm Labor Demand	48
	Total and Hired Labor Demand Functions	49
	Labor Demand Functions By Farm Activity	54
	Empirical Micro Model of Migration	60
	3.1.2 Data Sources and Limitation	64
	3.2 Macro (Provincial-Level) Analysis	
	3.2.1 Model Specification and Estimation	67
	3.2.2 Data Sources and Limitation	78
IV.	MICRO LEVEL ANALYSIS	
	4.1 Description of the Sample Villages	83
	4.1.1 Environment Characteristics of the Study Village	83
	4.1.2 Demographic Profile	88
	4 1 3 Labor Force and Employment Structure	90

4.2 Historical Pattern of Technology Adoption	94
4.2.1 Adoption of Modern Rice Varieties	94
4.2.2 Adoption and Use of Chemical Fertilizers and Insecticides	95
4.2.3 Adoption of Mechanical Technology	96
4.3 Description of Rural Labor Markets	97
4.3.1 Total Labor Use	99
4.3.2 Composition of Labor Use	104
Family vs. Hired Labor	104
Short term vs. Permanent Labor	108
4.4 Effects of Technical Change on Labor Demand	112
4.4.1 Effects on Total Labor Demand	113
4.4.2 Effects on Hired Labor	118
4.4.3 Effects on Total and Hired Labor Demand By Farm Activities	122
Labor Demand for Land Preparation	122
Labor Demand for Crop Establishment	124
Labor Demand for Crop Care Activities	126
Labor Demand for Harvesting and Threshing	126
4.5 Effects of Differential Technical Change on Village Migration	129
4.5.1 Historical Pattern of Permanent Migration in the Sample Villages	130
4.5.2 Characteristics of Rural Migration	133
4.5.3 Determinants of Village Level Migration	142
4.6 Summary and Implications of Micro-Level Findings	146

٧. MACRO LEVEL ANALYSIS 5.1 Levels and Trends of Provincial Migration in the Philippines: 1960-80 151 5.2 Effects of Migration on Provincial Employment, Unemployment and Wage Differentials 155 5.2.1 Effect on Provincial In-migration and 158 Out-migration 5.2.2 Effect on Provincial Unemployment 162 5.2.3 Effect on Provincial Employment 163 5.2.4 Effect on Interprovincial Wage Differential 166 5.3 Summary of Macro Findings 167 VI. OVERALL SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY 171 APPENDIX TABLES 177

APPENDICES

BIBLIOGRAPHY

181

192

LIST OF TABLES

CABLE_NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES USED IN ESTIMATING PER FARM TOTAL LABOR AND HIRED LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS FOR ALL ACTIVITY	51
2	DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES USED IN ESTIMATING LABOR DEMAND FUNCTION BY FARM ACTIVITY	55
3	VARIABLE DEFINITIONS AND MEASUREMENTS: VILLAGE MIGRATION FUNCTION	64
4	VARIABLE DEFINITIONS AND MEASUREMENT: LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT MODEL	79
5	ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS AND CROPPING INDEX OF SAMPLE VILLAGES BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, 1985.	86
6	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SAMPLE VILLAGES CLASSIFIED BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, 1985	90
7	LABOR MARKET PARTICIPATION BY TYPE OF PRODUCT- ION ENVIRONMENT, 1985	92
8	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGE POPULATION 15-64 YEARS OLD BY PRIMARY OCCUPATION	93
9	ADOPTION OF MODERN BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY IN THE SAMPLE VILLAGES: 1985 WET SEASON	96
10a	TOTAL LABOR USE BY ACTIVITY BY VILLAGE CLASSI- FIED BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, WET SEASON 1985	100

TABLE NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10b	TOTAL LABOR USE BY ACTIVITY BY VILLAGE CLASSI- FIED BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, DRY SEASON 1985	101
11a	COMPOSITION OF LABOR USE BY ACTIVITY BY VILLAGE CLASSIFIED BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, WET SEASON 1985	105
11b	COMPOSITION OF LABOR USE BY ACTIVITY BY VILLAGE CLASSIFIED BY PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, DRY SEASON 1985	106
12	AVERAGE LABOR USE PER HECTARE BY FARM SIZE AND BY TYPE OF CONTRACT IN THE SAMPLE VILLAGES, WET SEASON 1985	109
13	COMPARATIVE FEATURES OF CASUAL AND PERMANENT LABOR ARRANGEMENTS OBSERVED IN TWO SAMPLE VILLAGES: NUEVA ECIJA, 1985	110
14	ESTIMATED ELASTICITIES OF TOTAL LABOR DEMAND	113
15	ESTIMATED ELASTICITIES OF HIRED LABOR DEMAND	121
16	ESTIMATED LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS FOR LAND PREPARATION BY TYPE OF LABOR BY SEASON	123
17	ESTIMATED LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS FOR CROP ESTABLISHMENT BY TYPE OF LABOR BY SEASON	125
18	ESTIMATED LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS FOR CROP CARE BY TYPE OF LABOR BY SEASON	127
19	ESTIMATED LABOR DEMAND FUNCTIONS FOR HARVESTING AND THRESHING BY TYPE OF LABOR BY SEASON	130
20	NET MIGRATION RATES BY VILLAGE: 1960-85	130
21	PERCENT OF IN-MIGRANTS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE BY VILLAGE, 1960-85	134
22	PERCENT OF OUT-MIGRANTS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE BY VILLAGE, 1960-85.	135

TABLE NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
23	PERCENTAGE FEMALE COMPOSITION OF IN-MIGRATION AND OUT-MIGRATION FLOWS BY VILLAGE, 1960-85	136
24	PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF IN-MIGRANTS BY AREA OF ORIGIN, 1960-85	137
25	PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF OUT-MIGRANTS BY AREA OF DESTINATION, 1960-85.	138
26	AVERAGE SCHOOLING OF IN-MIGRANTS AND OUT-MIGRANTS BY PERIOD OF MIGRATION	139
27	PERCENTAGE AGE COMPOSITION OF IN-MIGRANTS BY VILLAGE	140
28	PERCENTAGE AGE COMPOSITION OF OUT-MIGRANTS BY VILLAGE, 1960-85	141
29	GENERALIZED LEASE SQUARE ESTIMATES OF IN- MIGRATION FUNCTION BY TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD	143
30	GENERALIZED LEAST SQUARE ESTIMATES OF NET- MIGRATION FUNCTION BY TYPE OF HOUSEHOLD	146
31	NET MIGRATION RATES OF PROVINCES BY MIGRATION STATUS: 1960-70.	151
32	NET MIGRATION RATES OF PROVINCES BY MIGRATION STATUS: 1970-75.	152
33	NET MIGRATION RATES OF PROVINCES BY MIGRATION STATUS: 1975-80	1.53
34	THREE STAGE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES OF REGIONAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT MODEL:	
	1970-75	156
35	THREE STAGE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES OF REGIONAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT MODEL: 1975-80	157

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	RURAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT PROCESSES	5
2	COMPETITIVE MODEL OF INTERREGIONAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT PROCESS	17
3	INTERREGIONAL LABOR MARKET ADJUSTMENT MODEL WITH MOBILE CAPITAL	21
4	LOCATION OF SAMPLE VILLAGES	85
5	RAINFALL UNDER DIFFERENT CROP PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT, PHILIPPINES	87
6	HISTORICAL ADOPTION PATTERN OF MODERN VARIETIES BY VILLAGE	94
7	ADOPTION PATTERN OF TRACTORS BY VILLAGE	98
8	ADOPTION PATTERN OF MECHANICAL THRESHERS	O.B

APPENDICES

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
A	SAMPLING FRAMEWORK AND SCOPE OF THE FARM HOUSEHOLD SURVEY	181
В	VARIABLE DEFINITION AND DATA SOURCES: REGIONAL LABOR MARKET ADJUST MODEL	188
APPENDIX TABLE NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1	2SLS ESTIMATES OF DEMAND FOR TOTAL AND HIRED LABOR: LAND PREPARATION (ALL SEASONS)	177
2	2SLS ESTIMATES OF DEMAND FOR TOTAL AND HIRED LABOR: CROP ESTABLISHMENT (ALL SEASONS)	178
3	2SLS ESTIMATES OF DEMAND FOR TOTAL AND HIRED LABOR: CROP CARE (ALL SEASONS)	179
4	2SLS ESTIMATES OF DEMAND FOR TOTAL AND HIRED LABOR: HARVESTING AND THRESHING (ALL SEASONS)	180

ABSTRACT

This study examined: (i) the extent to which differential technical change in the Philippine rice sector induced interregional labor market adjustment through migration; and (ii) the effectiveness of this migration in reducing interprovincial income differentials. Analyses were based on micro or farm-level data as well as on provincial-level information.

Analyses at the farm level indicate that differential technical change had significant quantitative and qualitative differential impacts on farm-level employment and on rural labor markets in gener. The quantitative effects are reflected in increased labor demy. While the qualitative effects are reflected in the observed changes in the composition of labor use as reflected in the increased ployment of hired labor. This effect not specifically tested in this study; is taken as exogenous.

At the village level results showed that differential technical change had induced in-migration to areas where its adoption had been most pervasive. However, the prospects for continued in-migration into these areas are unlikely as changing conditions in rural labor markets due to population pressure as well as increasing shift to the utilization of direct seeding and other labor-saving technologies lead to more competition and lesser employment possibilities for rural migrants.

At the sub-regional level, results showed that while consequent inter-provincial migration flows have induced growth in a small number of urban centers, the net effect of migration in inducing higher rates of growth of employment and in reducing interregional income disparities across provincial labor markets has been weak. This is probably because the limited number of inter-provincial migrants may not be sufficient to efficiently correct existing income disparities as they emerge. Moreover, the results need to be qualified since imperfections in the underlying data set may have significantly affected the parameter estimates.

Results of the study point to policy implications with micromacro links. These include efforts towards the creation of more nonfarm income opportunities in the rural sector, the development of more appropriate technology in the upland and less favorable areas, and the shifting of upland areas from existing cropping systems towards more high valued crops in which they have relatively more comparative advantage.

At the macro or regional level, the results point to the need for realignment of its investment priorities agriculture in particular to take advantage of the direct and indirect effects that agricultural investments in infrastructure generate for the rest of the local economy. An example would be investments in irrigation which have substantial direct employment effects as well as indirect effects as evidenced by the experience of agriculture-based towns and sub-regional centers such as Gapan and Cabanatuan City as well as other Asian countries. Finally the policy implications point to the need to evolve spatial policies that will address region and the sub-regional concerns at same time exploiting the complementarities of regional and sectoral policies.