# Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Associated with Some Economically Important Spices and Aromatic Plants

G. VENKATESHWAR RAO', C. MANOHARACHARY\*1, I.K. KUNWAR' and B.R RAJESHWAR RAO'

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad – 500 007, India <sup>2</sup>Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Field Station, Boduccal, Uppal, Hyderabad – 500 039, India

Economically important spice crops viz. cinnamon, corlander, curry leaf, fenugreek, conion and aromatic crops viz. cinnamon, corlander, curry leaf, fenugreek, conion and aromatic crops viz. circulals, correctly extended in common grass, ferror scented gum, palmorea exceeded grantum and velver were screened for arbuscular mycorriaz (AMF fungal saccisation. 18 AMF fungal species of presented by and care of Schrocystic went out associated with the rhizosphere soils of these plants. Among all the fungal species, dorman fasciculatur was the most predominant species found associated with 11 cops. This is the first report on the occurrence of these many genera and species of AMF fungal colored to the contract of the

Key words: Root colonization, prepagule number, phosphorus, soil.

Arbusqular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are ubiquitous in nature and form obligate symbiotic association with the roots and other underground parts of most of the angiospermic and some other plants. They have gained considerable importance in recent years owing to their beneficial response in improving crop productivity and disease resistance. There are reports on the association of AMF with medicinal spice and aromatic crops (Barthakur & Bordoloi 1990, Boerner 1990, Gupta & Janardhanan 1991, Khaliq & Janardhanan 1994, Kothari & Singh 1996, Prasad & Sallaia 1995, Chee et al. 1998, Saleh et al. 1998, Kunwar et al. 1999, 2000). For our investigations we selected thirteen economically important spice and aromatic crops, and studied the qualitative and quantitative composition of AMF associated with selected spice and aromatic crops and various physico-chemical characterstics of the soil in relation to number of propagules of AMF.

## Materials and Methods

Fine pipis crops, namely, circumson (Circumsonum cycleniuscus) Europ Counter (Circumsonum astivum I.), curry lead (Murraya Konniyi (L. Speeng.), lenutyreek (Tirgonella Indemungeracum). prion (Allium capa L.) and eight aromatic crops, namely, citronella arrenals L. L. pipurascus, namely, citronella arrenals L. L. pipurascus, lenutyreek (Marina Sarronal (Comptopopor vinitarius South). Corroming (Marina arrenals L. L. pipurascus), lenutyreek (Marina Sarronal (Comptopopor vinitarius South). Corroming (Marina arrenals L. L. pipurascus), lenutyreek (Sarronal Comptopopor vinitarius (Marina)), lenutyreek (Marina Sarronal Comptopopor vinitarius (Marina)), lenutyreek (Marina), lenutyree

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author kurwardblict an nic in

Wats var. motia Burk., rose-scened geranium (Petargonium sp.) and vettivetuks uss (Vetiverial zizanoides (I.,) Nashi growing in the experimental farm of Central Institute of Medicinal and Apmatilo Plants. Field Station, Hyderabad, India were selected for this study. The soil of the experimental Tama is red sandy loam (alfsoi) and is delicient in N. Pand Zn. The experimental Coation experiences seei-and in topical climate. All the test crops were being cliviated following standard agrinutural annatic sell.

Filtizosphere soil samples of all the 13 crops were selected all random puts a depth of 10 rml to plants of each crip rml to plants of each crip on a different foliations, a corp. cells samples of each crip on a different foliations, a corp. cells samples founded a filter foliations of the selection of the crip of the selection of the crip of the crip

For observing colonization of roots by AMF, five plants of each crop (except dinnamon, where representative root samples were collected from the well grown trees) from different locations in the field were carefully dug out with almost complete root system and surrounding soils. The rootswere carefully washed in water to remove adhering soil particles and 1 cm segments of terminal feederroots were fixed in formalin: acetic acid : ethanol : water (FAA) (10:5:50:35) (V:V) mixture. Twenty live representative root bits were cleared in 10% KOH for 20 min at 80°C. washed with several changes of water kept in 0.5N HCI for five to ten min to neutralize the alkali and washed three to four times with water, cleared bits were stained in 0.05% trypan blue in tactophenol (lactic acid : phenol : glycerol : water) (1:1:2:1) (Phillips & Hayman 1970) (procedure modified). Before observation, the mounted root bits were gently squashed under cover slip. Absence and presence of colonization by the hyphae, abuscules, vesicles and spores of AMF in each segment of the root was expressed as percentage of colonization in accordance with the slide method of Giovanneti and Mosse (1980).

### Results and Discussion

#### Number of Propagules

AMF were of widespread occurrence in all the soils investigated but with variation both in number and type of spores and sporocarps (Table I). The number of propagules / 10g soil ranged from 49-120. The highest number of propagules were observed in rhizosphere soil collected from curry leaf and rose scented geranium (1201'0g soil). By contrast, the lowest were observed in cinnamon (40'01'0g soil). Sivestava and Basu (1993') respected 9 sporess\*10g soil in lenugreed, in this study, the number of spores was 80'10g soil. Khaliq & Janardhann (1994) reported 415-70' spores/10g soil (42-71 spores/10g soil) in mint spaceles.

## Root Colonization

Colonization was not observed in cinnamon, cincionella and jamora cons. Rishala (not al-damedhanan (1994) skulidid six cultivated species of met. They consider the colonization of the colonization of the colonization in lenguest, cultivated in yellow learny colonization in lenguest colonization of the colonization of the colonization of AM furnagial propagation in their furnage and sometimes of the colonization of AM furnagial propagation in their furnage and sometimes of the colonization of AM furnagial propagation in their furnage and colonization of their colonizatio

#### Relationship between moisture content and number of propagules

Moisture content and spore numbers were positively correlated (fr.e. 06). There are contractiony reports on the effect of moisture content on (AM) fungal propagules. A negative effect of immersaing moisture content on spore numbers was observed by Reid and Sown (1979). Durag (1995) also reported significant positive correlation between moisture content and AM fungal spores in firmalish integers osi indicating fungal spores in firmalish integers osi indicating the content of all (1995). 2000) in gardin and ginger throughers out the content of all (1995). 2000) in gardin and ginger throughers out.

### Relationship between pH and number of propagules

The pH of the soil and number of propagules were negatively correlated (r=0.21). Kunwar et al. (1999, 2000) reported them to be unrelated in garlic and ginger thizosphere soil.

### Relationship between phosphorus and number of propagules

It is a well known fact that the nutritionally deficient soils and phosphorus deficient soils in particular harbour more AMF. In the present investigation a range of 0.8 – 3.6 pm of phosphorus has been reported indicating that the soils have low 'P' content. However, AM fungal number distribution is a decisive factor as it depends

Table 1. Aduscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) coloruzation in the roots, no. of AMF propagates/10g soil and physico-chemical chander isses of mizosphere soils of spice and aromatic crops.

Стор	Moisture ° <sub>a</sub>	PH	Artifable phosphorus mg/kg	No. of AMF propagules 10g soil	Root colonization (%)
Spice arops				109 501	(59)
Cinnanon	3.5 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 0.06	20 ± Q.1	40 ± 2.08	0
Coriande r	3.6 : 0.15	7.2 ± 0.06	17 ± 0.1	70 ± 3.06	32 ± 1.15
Curry leaf	$2.7 \pm 0.06$	$7.4 \pm 0.1$	2.0 ± 0.06	120 ± 4.04	36 ± 1.53
Fenugre ⊕k	1.8 ± 0.06	6.9 ± 0.07	1.8 ± O.06	80 ± 2.08	29 ± 2.08
Onios	3.1 ± 0.07	7.0 ± 0	2.0 ± Q.12	110 ± 2.65	38 ± 2.52
Aromati c crops					00 2 2.00
Citrorella	1.7 ± 0.15	6.9 ± 0.06	1.8 ± Q.06	50 + 2 65	0
Commint	1.8 ± 0.11	$6.9 \pm 0.1$	2.8 ± 0.10	100 ± 3.79	46 ± 1.53
Jammsa	1.6 ± 0.12	$7.3 \pm 0.06$	1.8 ± 0.2	60 ± 4.16	0
Lemongrass	3.5 ± 0.12	6.8 ± 0.17	2.0 ± 0.15	50 ± 2.65	21 = 1.53
Lemon-s conted gurn	3.4 ± 0.1	$7.2 \pm 0.1$	2.0 ≈ € 0.00	60 ± 2.08	38 + 1.15
Palmaios a	3.8 ± 0.15	7.1 ± 0.0	0.8	100 ± 4.81	41 ± 1.73
Rosescerried geranium	$3.3 \pm 0.14$	6.6 ± 0.12	3.6 ± O.06	120 ± 2.65	59 ± 1.73
Vetiver	3.2 ± 0.06	6.9 ± 0.1	18± O.03	90 ± 2.65	42 ± 3.1
r values	+0.06	-0.21	+11.9-4	+0.69	+0.81

upon main; factors including the type of phosphorus available tothe plant, In our studies, the evaluated P is the evaluate P content only and much of the P is in the form of nothavailable state.

The troiced soles are usually of complex nature here one da particular determination not be specially defined. However, in the present investigations statistically a conflict control for (3.6) Has been confined between confercis facility (3.6) Has been confined between the confidence of the confidence of the confidence of the confidence propagational filterases if the Potential to Opport, The defined of AM fangal propagates will start only after 10 pan a PC confercis frame in the present revestigations of which confidence is the present revestigations at direct confession has been noticed as the P content and with the conference of the conference of which conference is the present cannot a direct confession has been noticed as the P content and the confession has been noticed as the P content of the Confession has been noticed as the P content of the Confession has been noticed as the P content of the Confession has been noticed as the P content of the Confession has been not the Confession of the Confession has been not the Confession has been described by the Confession has been not described by the Confession has been not

## Prevalence of AM fungal species

Sighters species of AMF were found associated with the sighe and armadic crops (Tables). Among these: eight were of Actu-Oppore, three were of Gipaspore, four were of Actu-Oppore, three were of Gipaspore, four were of Actu-Oppore, three were of Gipaspore, four were of Actu-Oppore, Giornas is association was the most abundant sepored followed by Accusingson forward. A leavished Giornas massese. Gipaspore amagnatia was least sturnfact. The abundance of AM fungal species varied from plant to plant. His observed that some fungal species were lost specific. Not a sprince AM fungal species was species were lost specific. Not a sprince AM fungal species was sprinced and plant.

species was bund associated either with all the spice crops cwaith all the aromatic crops. Glownes aggregatum found in the rikrosphere soil of pathranosa by Gupta and Janardhana nt (991) was not observed with this crop in our stuly. Walling and Janardhanan (1994) response Glowns is asculatum, G.mosseae and Solerocystis societies from riin.

This field investigation revealed that all the spice and aromaticoropsexamined harboured 18 AM fungal species in their rhizosphere soils. Maximum fungal species were found associated with rose scented peranium followed by commintanciactiver From the colonization point of view except cirnamon, circnella and jamrosa all the other plants were found colonized by AM fungi in the roots (21-59%). The soils supporting arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were found to be delicient in phosphorus. Root colonization of the croosby more than one mycombize was reported by Daft and Hogeth (1983) who observed more consistent benefits a cros growth from a combination of AM fungal species train from a single species. The absence of roots colonization incertain crop species inspite of the presence of coord number of AM propagules in the rhizosphere soils needs further studies to elucidate reasons for the observed results. The presence or absence of certain AM fungal species in specific crops provides a broad indication of crop AM luncal species association specificity under the prevailing conditions. Khalig and Janardhanan (1994) also suggested some degree of host preference by mycorrhizas. However, this aspect needs further indepth investigation.

Table 2. Artuscular mycorhizel lungi (AMF) and the number of artuscular mycorhizal (AM) fungal propagules/10gm rhizosphere soil isolated from spice and aromatic cross with their relative from server and shundress.

crops with their relative frequency and abundance.																
AM fungilparameters					No. c	MA N	egnuì	No. of AM fungal propagules/10g soi	gules	10g s	£				AM fungal species	species
	Cinnamon	Coriander	Cirry lear	Forugraps	Onion		Citronella Commins	Jan	Jamrosa Lem	Lemongrass	Lemon-scenled Palm-		Rose-scenied Geranium	No. of crops	Relative Frequency	Abundance
Acaulospora appendicula Spain, Siever, & Schenck	ı	,	7	,	,		ω	,	12	G.	,			0	5.2	6.6
A. bireticulate Rothwell & Trappe	ı	ı	ı	8	í	i	cn	ı	,	ω	ı	,	4	4	4.2	Ch.
A. delicata Walker, Pfieffer & Blogs	1	N	=	1	=	1	ı	1	1	ı	ı	7	,	4	4.2	7.8
A. foveata Trappe & Janos	9	13	19	,	6	i	á	12	i	=	19	9	5	10	10.4	13.9
A. Jacunosa Morton	1	ω	ı		23	15	U1	ı	9	ı	í	۵	7	7	7.3	9.3
A. Jaevis Gerdemann & Trappe	7	1	ÇT	=	26	12		9	7	a	17	ı	9	10	10.4	10.9
A. morrowae Spain & Schenck	ı	ı	ı	ı	,	,	å.	ı	,	1	13	10		ω	3.1	8.7
A. scrobiculata Trappe	1	7	1	6	s	,	,	,	1	1	ı	00	,		å si	8.8
Gigaspora decipiens Hall & Abbott	1	ω	N	ı	6	cn	ı	,	ı	ı	ı	4	5	6	6.3	4.2
G. gagantea (Nicol. & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe	ı	ı	ı	4	ı	i	i	ω	i	4	N	ch	ü	O1	6.3	3.5
G. marganita Becker & Hall	ı	4	ı	ı	ı	i	ω	ı		ı	1	,	ω	ω	3.1	3.3
Glomous epigaeum Daniels & Trappe	1	ı	,	9	<del>=</del>	ı	o,	,	1	,	ı	9	o	(J1	5.2	9.6
G. fasciculatum (Thaxter) Gerd. & Trappe emend.	ĕ	32	20	42	,	,	22	g	18	24	37	ಜ	27	=	11.4	32.8
Walker & Koske G. mosseae (Nicol. & Gero) Gerd. & Trappe	σ	σv	ä	1	ı	<b>6</b>	=		ı	~	13	ω	UI	φ	9.3	9.9
Glamous sp.	ı	ı	ı	i	m	ı	i	i	í	ı	ı	9	ı	N	Ñ	7.5
Solerocystis Sinuosa Gerdemann & Bakshi	1	1	1	1	ı	1	7	1	ı	1	ı	ı	G1	ы	2.1	6,5
Scutellospora gregaria (Sch. & Nicol.) Walker & Sanders	ı	ı	t	ı	ı	ı	cn	ı	4	ř	ı	60	ı	3	3.1	ch
S. nýva (Redhead) Walker & Sanders	1	1					ω		,		,	44	,	N	5.1	3.5
Total no. of AM fungal species for each crop soil	4	00	7	o	8	4	12	4	çn	7	6	ä	=			
No. of AM fungel propagules/10g soil	40	70	120	80	110	50	100	8	50	8	100	20	90			
Relative frequency (%) of AM fungi in the crop soil	4	8.4	7.4	6.3	00 44	to to	12.6	A N	5.3	7.4	6.3	4.8	10.5			
Abundance of AM fungl in the crop soil	5	8.8	17.1	13.3	13.8	12.5	8.	5	6	8.6	16.7	8.6	80			



# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to UGC, New Delhi for providing financial assistance under UGC-SAP Programme and to the Director, CIMAP, Lucknow and the scientist-in-charge, CIMAP Field Station, Hydrabad for facilities and encouragement. I.K. Kurwar is grateful to UGC for financial super.

### References

- BarthekurMP & Bordoloi DN, 1990. Occurrence of VAM fungi in some Cymbopogon species of northern India. Curr. Sci. 59:470-471.
- Boemer REJ, 1990. Role of mycorrhizal fungus origin in growth and nutrient uptake by Geranium robertimum. Am. J. Bot. 77:483-489.
- Chee Le, Garcia CR & Ferrera CR. 1998, Onion bidertilization with vermicompost and arbuscular mycorthizae, ASAE, Annual Meeting. 12-16 July, Otando, Florida, USA.
- Daft MJ & Hogarth BG. 1983. Comparative interactions amongst four species of Glomus on maize and onion. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 80:339-345.
- Durge VVK. 1995. Studies on VAM fungi associated with Testcone grandis Linn. F and Terminalia arjuna (Roxb.) Wight & Arn. Ph.D. Thesis, Osmania Uriversty, Hyderabad.
- Gerdem ann JW & Nicolson TH. 1963. Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil bywet-sleving and decanting. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 48:235-246.
- Giovanneti M & Mosse B. 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infections in roots. New Phytol, 84: 489-500.
- Gupta ML & Janardhanan KK. 1991. Mycorrhizal association of Glomus aggregatum with palmarosa erhanots growth and biomass. Plant and Soil. 131: 261–263.
- Jackson ML, 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall, New Delhi, India.
- Janaki R & Manoharachary C. 1994. Occurrence and distribution of VAM fungi associated with safflower. Indian Phytopathol. 47:263–265.
- Khaliq, Abiul & Janardhanan KK. 1994. Variation of native visicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal association on cultivated species of mint. Symbiosis – Rehovot. 1875-82.

- Kothari SK & Singh UB. 1996. Response of Citronella Jivra (Cymbopgon winterianus Jowitt) to vesicular abusoular mycorrival fungi and soil compaction in relation to phosphorus supply. Pl. & Soil. 178:231-227.
- Kunwar IK, Reddy PJM & Mancharachary C. 1999. Occurrence and distribution of AMF associated with garlic rhizosphere soil. Mycorrhiza News. 11:4-6.
- Kunear IK, Reddy PJM & Manoharachary C. 2000. Abuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with ginger ritzosphere soil: Occurrence and distribution. In "Frontiers in Biotechnology and Plant-Pathogen Irleractions." Pro. Int'Conf. Jan. 16-18, Osmania University, Hyderabad, (in press).
- Morton JB. (1988). Taxonomy of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; classification, nomenclature and identification. Mycotaxon 32:267–324.
- Phillips JM & Hayman DS. 1970. Improved procedure for clearing root and staining parasitic and vesiculararbuscular mycornizal fungi for rapid assessment of infaction. Trans P. Mood. 56, 56, 56, 57
- Clinfection. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 55:158–161.

  Prasad KS & Sailsja K. 1995. Effect of VAM inoculation on perfivinkle. In: "Mycorrhiza: Biofertilizers for the future." Eds. Adholeya, A. and Singh, S. pp. 403-406. Proc. Third Nat'l Conf. on Mycorrhiza, March
- ReidCPP & Bowen GD. 1979. Effects of soil moisture on VA mycorrhize formation and root development in Medicago. In "The Soil-Root Interface." Eds. Harley, J.L. and Russel, R.S. pp. 211. Academic

13-15 TERI India

Press London

- Saleh EA, Nokhal TH, EL Borollosy MA, Fendrik I, Sharaf MS & EL Sawy M. 1998. Effectiveness of clall inoculation with diazotrophs and VAM on growth and medicinal compounds of *Datura stramonium*. Alab Universities Jr. of Agr. Sci. 8:343–355.
- Alab Universities Jr. of Agr. Sci. 6:343–355.

  Schenck NC & Perez Y. 1990. Manual for the identification of VAM fungi. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Synergistic Publ. Cainsville, Florida, USA, pp.286.
- Srivastava NK & Basu M. 1995. Occurrence of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in some medicinal plants. In "Mycorrhizae : biofertilizers for the future." Eds. Adholeya, A. and Singh, S. pp.58-61. Third Nat'l Conf. On Mycorrhiza, TERI, India.
- Walker C. 1987. Current concepts in the taxonomy of endogonaceae. In "Mycorrhizae in the next decade, practical applications and research priorities." Eds. Sylvia, D.M., Hung, L.L. and Gaham, J.H. pp.300-302. Proc. Seventh NACOM, Florida, USA.